

it might be beneficial for the Legislature to look at this issue again this year. This would be for purposes of discussion only. There may be a good reason why we should air the issue of what to do about CIR orders pertaining to collective bargaining units of state employees. It may or may not be a critical issue but I think this Legislature should allow the introduction of the bill so that the committee could take a good look at it and then take the appropriate action. I would urge the Legislature to support Senator Warner's motion to suspend the rules. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, did you wish to close, please? The question is the adoption of the motion to introduce a new bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. It requires 30 votes. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to suspend the rules for purposes of introducing a new bill.

Mr. President, new bill...

SPEAKER NICHOL: The motion passes.

CLERK: Excuse me. Mr. President, new bill, LB 720 offered by Senator Warner. (Read for the first time by title as found on page 1147 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb, we are about ready to begin with you.

CLERK: Mr. President, with respect to LB 38, as you know, the Legislature considered it yesterday and at that time, Mr. President, there was an amendment offered to the committee amendments by Senator Arlene Nelson that was adopted. I now have pending, Mr. President, a second amendment to the committee amendments offered by Senator Lamb.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lamb, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, this amendment would merely strike the amendment adopted yesterday and revert back to the \$1,000 cap with a 10 percent rebate. I understand from talking to a number of people that they didn't hear my remarks yesterday in regard to the analogy